

Subject: Fareham Borough Council - Consultation: Land east of Crofton Cemetery and west of Peak Lane,



From: Historic Environment <historic.environment@hants.gov.uk>

Sent: 06 July 2020 11:13

To: Development Management <devcontrol@fareham.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: Fareham Borough Council - Consultation: Land east of Crofton Cemetery and west of Peak Lane,

Dear Mr Keen,

P/20/0522/FP Fareham Borough Council - Consultation: Residential Development Land east of Crofton Cemetery and west of Peak Lane,

Thank you for your consultation. I would draw your attention to the Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment that is submitted with the application on your website, which I would endorse to you. This Desk-Based Assessment (DBA) concludes, that:

Para 5.2 "There is no evidence to indicate prehistoric and Roman activity within the Site or its immediate vicinity. However, due to the presence of river terrace deposits within the Site, the potential for archaeological remains of these periods to be present within the Site has been recognised". This has been demonstrated to some extent by the archaeological work carried out ahead of the construction of the Stubbington Bypass where evidence of a Roman field system and a small Roman farm were found and recorded.

Para 5.3 "From the early medieval period onwards the Site is thought to have comprised agricultural land within the surrounding landscape of Stubbington and Titchfield. There is potential for remains associated with agriculture to be present within the Site, however, these would be of limited, if any, significance."

Para 5.4 "Whilst the proposed development has the potential to disturb currently unrecorded archaeological remains which may be present at the Site, the potential for the presence of highly significant remains is considered to be limited. It is considered that any development impacts upon those less significant archaeological remains could be suitably addressed through a programme of archaeological works undertaken at an appropriate stage in the planning process."

I would concur with these conclusions and as there is no indication that archaeology presents an overriding issue, I would advise that recording and reporting of any archaeological deposits affected by construction be secured through the attachment of suitable conditions to any planning consent that might be granted. I recommend three archaeological conditions, to secure a preliminary archaeological survey (known as an evaluation), archaeological mitigation of archaeological remains identified by that evaluation, and a condition that secures the appropriate reporting and sharing of the results for the benefit of the community and wider. For instance:

1) That no development shall take place until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological assessment in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation that has been submitted to and approved by the Planning Authority. The assessment should take

the form of trial trenches located across the whole of the proposed development area to ensure that any archaeological remains encountered within the site are recognised, characterised and recorded.

Reason: To assess the extent, nature and date of any archaeological deposits that might be present and the impact of the development upon these heritage assets.

2) That no development shall take place until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological mitigation of impact, based on the results of the trial trenching, in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation that has been submitted to and approved by the Planning Authority.

Reason: To mitigate the effect of the works associated with the development upon any heritage assets and to ensure that information regarding these heritage assets is preserved by record for future generations.

3) Following completion of archaeological fieldwork a report will be produced in accordance with an approved programme submitted by the developer and approved in writing by the local planning authority setting out and securing appropriate post-excavation assessment, specialist analysis and reports, publication and public engagement.

Reason: To contribute to our knowledge and understanding of our past by ensuring that opportunities are taken to capture evidence from the historic environment and to make this publicly available.

If you have any further queries regarding this application, then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

David Hopkins
(County Archaeologist)

Specialist Environmental Services
Economy, Transport and Environment Department
Elizabeth II Court West, The Castle, Winchester SO23 8UD

Email david.hopkins@hants.gov.uk
01962 832339 www3.hants.gov.uk/landscape-and-heritage/historic-environment.htm

Share your thoughts on our service here: <http://twitter.com/hantsconnect>

From: devcontrol@fareham.gov.uk <devcontrol@fareham.gov.uk>

Sent: 02 July 2020 15:29

To: Historic Environment <historic.environment@hants.gov.uk>

Subject: Fareham Borough Council - Consultation: Land east of Crofton Cemetery and west of Peak Lane,

I am writing to consult you on a planning application I have received (P/20/0522/FP). Details of the application and how to respond are in the attached PDF document.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you wish to discuss this.

Peter Kneen
Principal Planner (Development Management)

Fareham Borough Council
01329 824363

This email (and its attachments) is intended only for the use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information which is privileged and/or confidential. If it has come to you in error, you must take no action based on it nor must you copy or show it to anyone.

This email is confidential but may have to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Data Protection Act 2018 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004. If you are not the person or organisation it was meant for, apologies. Please ignore it, delete it and notify us. Emails may be monitored.